

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9

10  
11  
12 CHADERICK A. INGRAM,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.,

16 Defendants.  
17


Case No.: 12-3038 JSC

**ORDER CERTIFYING THE  
REVOCATION OF PLAINTIFF'S *IN*  
*FORMA PAUPERIS* STATUS**

18 The Court is in receipt of a referral notice from the Ninth Circuit regarding Plaintiff's  
19 *in forma pauperis* status for pending Case. No. 12-16787. (Dkt. No. 19.) After dismissing  
20 Plaintiff's complaint with prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, the Court issued a Judgment  
21 against Plaintiff. The Judgment itself certified that any appeal would not be taken in good  
22 faith pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). (Dkt. No. 13.) Plaintiff's *in forma pauperis*  
23 designation was thus revoked, and his subsequent application to proceed *in forma pauperis*  
24 was denied as moot. (Dkt. No. 17.)

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26  
27 Dated: September 7, 2012

28  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE